(Creation)2020年8月25日

Magnetism of Metals (金属磁性)

HAMADA Noriaki (浜田典昭) Osaka U. (大阪大学 スピントロニクス学術連携研究教育センター) Tokyo U. of Science (東京理科大学 理工学部)

Magnetism of Conduction electron 1 (伝導電子の磁性 1) Pauli susceptibility (金属常磁性)

Magnetic Impurity in nonmagnetic material (磁性不純物) Kondo effect 近藤効果

Interaction between atomic magnetic moments

Ferromagnetic or Antiferromagnetic? 強磁性・反強磁性?

Magnetic Alloy (磁性合金)

Magnetism of Conduction electron 2 (伝導電子の磁性 2)

Landau 反磁性, de Haas - van Alphen 効果

quantum Hall effect (2D)

(参考文献)

- (1) 金森順次郎著「磁性」(培風館, 1969)
- (2) 斯波弘行著「基礎の固体物理学」(培風館, 2007)
- (3) 斯波弘行著「固体の電子論」(丸善, 1996)
- (4) J. R. Hook & H. E. Hall Solid State Physics (2nd ed.) J (Wiley, 1991)

Many electron system with many nuclei: (Self-consistent calculation)

 \Rightarrow

many Fermion system strong Coulomb interaction Quasiparticles weak interaction (Ground state)

on Crystal structure Magnetic structure

Fermi gas Fermi liquid

(S.I.) $\boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0(\boldsymbol{H} + \boldsymbol{M})$

1 Paramagnetism in nonmagnetic metal (金属常磁性)





 $D(\epsilon)$: Density of states per spin $M = \mu_{\rm B}B \times D(\epsilon_{\rm F}) \times 2 \times \mu_{\rm B}$

Paramagnetic susceptibility

in the noninteracting electrons : ($B \approx \mu_0 H$)

$$\chi_0 = \frac{M}{H} \approx \frac{\mu_0 M}{B} = 2\mu_0 \mu_{\rm B}^2 D(\epsilon_{\rm F})$$

 χ_0 can be obtained by the band structure calculation.

The susceptibility is enhanced, for example,

$$\chi_{\rm P} = \frac{\chi_0}{1 - I\chi_0}$$

due to the electron-electron interaction.

$\chi/10^{-5}$					
Metal	Free el.	Band cal.	exp.		
	χ_0	χ_0	$\chi_{ m P}$		
Li	1.01	1.65	2.5		
Na	0.83	0.86	1.4		
K	0.67	0.72	1.1		
Rb	0.63	0.70	1.0		
Ti		3.8	18.1		
V		9.9	34.6		

The temperature-independent susceptibility is treated as the Pauli susceptibility.

DOS [states/eV spin cell] given by the band structure calculation

Alkali metals



2 Magnetic Impurity in Nonmagnetic Material



2.1 Anderson Model

Anderson Hamiltonian: $(n_{\sigma} = d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma})$

$$H = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_d n_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_A}} \sum_{k\sigma} \left\{ V_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} d_{\sigma} + V^*_k d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{k\sigma} \right\}$$

($N_{\rm A}$: the number of unit cells in the crystal)

Is the system "magnetic" or "nonmagnetic"?

2.1.1 Hartree-Fock approximation $(\sigma =\uparrow, \downarrow)$ $H_{\sigma} = \sum_{k} \epsilon_{k} c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \epsilon_{d} n_{\sigma} + U \langle n_{-\sigma} \rangle n_{\sigma}$ $+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{A}}} \sum_{k} \left\{ V_{k} c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} d_{\sigma} + V^{*}_{k} d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{k\sigma} \right\}$

Self-consistent calculation for $\langle n_{\uparrow} \rangle$ and $\langle n_{\downarrow} \rangle$ Energy : $E = \sum_{\sigma} \langle H_{\sigma} \rangle - U \langle n_{\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{\downarrow} \rangle$ Virtual bound state

Partial DOS of d state:

$$D_{d\sigma}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Delta/\pi}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_{d\sigma})^2 + \Delta^2}$$
$$\epsilon_{d\sigma} = \epsilon_{d} + U \langle n_{-\sigma} \rangle$$
$$\Delta \approx \pi \langle |V_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \rangle D_{c}(\epsilon_{\rm F})$$



Appearance of local moment:

 $UD_{\rm d}(\epsilon_{\rm F}) > 1$

2.2 Local magnetic moment μ

Susceptibility has a Curie term:

$$\chi(T) = \chi_{\rm P} + \frac{B}{T}; \quad B = \frac{N\mu^2}{3k_{\rm B}}$$

example) Fe impurity in various 4d metals,



The Fe magnetic moment induces large moment at surrounding Pd atoms.



Total	Fe	Mo1	Mo2
2.08	2.12	-0.01	0.02

2.2.1 Band structure calculation: Fe in Mo (bcc*8-sc supercell)

Large moments are induced at

many Pd sites.

The supercell is too small.

2.2.2 Band structure calculation: Fe in Pd (fcc*8-bcc supercell

2.2.3 Band structure calculation: Fe in Ru (hcp*8-h supercell)

The Fe impurity in Ru is really nonmagnetic.

The LDA/GGA calculation works well.

2.2.4 Band structure calculation: Fe in Ag (fcc*8-bcc supercell)

The LDA/GGA calculation has a magnetic solution.

The LDA/GGA calculation fails to predict the ground state. At low temperatures T < T, the local moment disconnects

At low temperatures $T \lesssim T_{\rm K}$, the local moment disappears.

Kondo effect

10

3 Magnetic Impurity in nonmganetic metal (Kondo effect: $T \leq T_{\rm K}$)

3.1 Anderson Model

Anderson Hamiltonian: ($n_{\sigma} = d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} d_{\sigma}$)

$$H = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} c_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathrm{d}} n_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathrm{A}}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} \left\{ V_{\boldsymbol{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} d_{\sigma} + V_{\boldsymbol{k}}^* d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} \right\}$$

3.2 Large-U perturbation theory

 $|F\rangle$: Free electron ground state ('Fermi sea') Unperturbed ground states:

 $d^{\dagger}_{\downarrow} \ket{F}, \quad d^{\dagger}_{\uparrow} \ket{F}$

Perturbation term in Anderson Hamiltonian

$$H' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\rm A}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} \left\{ V_{\boldsymbol{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} d_{\sigma} + V^{*}_{\boldsymbol{k}} d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} \right\}$$

Approximations:

$$V_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} = V \quad (\ll U)$$

$$\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma} \sim \epsilon_{\rm F} = 0$$

 \Downarrow (2-nd order perturbation)

Effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{A}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{k}'\sigma} \frac{V^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{-\epsilon_{\text{d}}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{d}} + U} \right) c_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\boldsymbol{k}'\sigma}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{N_{\text{A}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{k}'\sigma\sigma'} \frac{V^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{-\epsilon_{\text{d}}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{d}} + U} \right) c_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{\boldsymbol{k}'\sigma'} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}$$

 $\epsilon_{\rm d} < 0, \ -\epsilon_{\rm d} \sim \epsilon_{\rm d} + U > 0$

Unperturbed system

The first term = 0, when $-\epsilon_d = \epsilon_d + U$,

The second term:

spin-flip scattering of conduction electron)

$$H_{\rm K} = -\frac{J}{2N_{\rm A}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{k'}\sigma\sigma'} c_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{\boldsymbol{k'}\sigma'} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}$$
$$J = -2V^2 \left(\frac{1}{-\epsilon_{\rm d}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\rm d} + U}\right) = -\frac{8V^2}{U} < 0$$

Kondo model

Antiferromagnetic exchange interaction

Local spin S attracts antiparallel conduction spin σ .

 \Rightarrow Kondo singlet at low temperatures ($T \lesssim T_{\rm K}$) Kondo temperature : $T_{\rm K}$

Scaling (renormarization of J) theory gives

$$k_{\rm B}T_{\rm K} \sim W \exp \frac{1}{JD_{\rm c}(\epsilon_{\rm F})} = W \exp \frac{-\pi U}{8\Delta}$$

where W is the conduction band width and $\Delta = \pi |V|^2 D_{\rm c}(\epsilon_{\rm F})$ is the width of virtual bound state in the Anderson model.

Scaling theory: $|J_{\rm eff}| \rightarrow$ larger $(T \rightarrow$ lower) $J_{\rm eff} \rightarrow -\infty \quad (T \rightarrow T_{\rm K} + 0)$

Kondo singlet state is created.

The large U perturbation expansion breaks down in $T \lesssim T_{\rm K}$.

3.3 Perturbation theory from U = 0

Unperturbed system: U = 0No local magnetic moment "Fermi liquid"

Perturbation: $H' = U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$

 \downarrow (even if $U \rightarrow \infty$)

No local moment state is kept.

"Kondo singlet"

This has the property of the "Fermi liquid".

No moment

DFT must describe this "Fermi liquid" state (ground state).

But usual approximation (LDA, GGA, ...) may not express the state appropriately.

 $E(\text{Kondo}) < E(\text{M}) < E(\text{NM}); \quad E(\text{Kondo}) - E(\text{M}) \approx k_{\text{B}}T_{\text{K}}$

- 4 Magnetic Interaction between Atomic Moments
- 4.1 A pair of magnetic atoms

Two virtual bound states (E_d , U, Δ) + Electron transfer (t) between those v. b. states

4.2 Ferromagnetic state v.s. Antiferromagnetic state in a crystal

Hubbard model

Hubbard Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_i n_{i\sigma} + \sum_i U_i n_{i\uparrow} n_i$$
$$+ \sum_{(i,j)\sigma} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma}$$
$$n_{i\sigma} = a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma}$$

Hartree-Fock approximation:

$$H_{\sigma} = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{(i,j)\sigma} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma}$$
$$\epsilon_{i\sigma} = \epsilon_i + U_i \langle n_{i-\sigma} \rangle$$

A s-orbital at a site

The covalent-bond concept is useful for understanding the magnetic interaction.

metal	crystal	$\mu \; [\mu_{ m B}]$	$T_{\rm c}$
Fe	bcc	2.22	1043
Со	hcp	1.72	1388
Ni	fcc	0.606	627
Gd	hcp	7.63	292
Dy	hcp	10.2	88

Nearest neighbor shell

0: the center, 1: the first neighbors 2: others

4.4 Nonlocal susceptibility

Example) 3d transition metals Magnetic structure?

- ferromagnetic: Fe, Co, Ni
- antiferromagnetic: Cr, Mn (spin density wave, etc.)

Local susceptibility: $\chi_{00}(\epsilon_{\rm F}) = \frac{M_0}{H_0}$ Nonlocal susceptibilities:

$$\chi_{01}(\epsilon_{\rm F}) = \frac{M_0}{H_1}, \ \chi_{02}(\epsilon_{\rm F}) = \frac{M_0}{H_2}$$

PDOS at the center:

$$D_0(\epsilon_{\rm F}) = \chi_{00}(\epsilon_{\rm F}) + \chi_{01}(\epsilon_{\rm F}) + \chi_{02}(\epsilon_{\rm F})$$

$$\chi_{01} > 0$$
 (FM) for $n_{
m d} \lesssim 3$ or $n_{
m d} \gtrsim 7$
 $\chi_{01} < 0$ (AFM) for $3 \lesssim n_{
m d} \lesssim 7$

The trend is similar for other lattices, although $n_{\rm d}$ is shifted.

5 Magnetic Alloys (磁性合金)

3d transition-metal alloys

Slater-Pauling curve

5.1 Hubbard model

Hubbard Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_i n_{i\sigma} + \sum_i U_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$
$$+ \sum_{(i,j)\sigma} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma}$$
$$n_{i\sigma} = a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma}$$

Hartree-Fock approximation:

$$H_{\sigma} = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{(i,j)\sigma} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma}$$
$$\epsilon_{i\sigma} = \epsilon_i + U_i \langle n_{i-\sigma} \rangle$$

A s-orbital at a site

A cluster in metal

0: the center, 1: the first neighbors 2: others

5.2 3d transition-metal alloys

Cluster CPA — 2: coherent potential —

5.2.1 FeNi alloy (bcc, fcc)

Fig. 4. The Ni and Fe atomic moments in fcc and bcc Ni–Fe. The dashed curves show the Ni and Fe moment for a given number of Ni nearest neighbors as is indicated in the figure. The full lines are the average Ni and Fe moment with the virtical bars representing the root mean square of the deviation of the moment from its average value. The curve labelled Tot. represents the total magnetization per atom. The dottec curves indicate the corresponding moments in alloys with atomic short-range order ($\alpha_1 < 0$). \times ,⁸⁾ \triangle ,^{9,10} \bigcirc ¹¹⁾ and \bigcirc ¹²⁾ show the experimental data by neutron diffraction.

- Fe: 2.2 $\mu_{
 m B}
 ightarrow \sim$ 3 $\mu_{
 m B}$
- Ni: 0.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$ constant

Majority-spin band: fully filled?

x_{Ni} =0

bcc Fe-Ni Disorder

Charge neutrality

23

5.2.2 FeCo alloy (bcc)

Fig. 12. The Fe and Co moments in bcc Fe-Co alloy with complete disorder. Triangles show the neutron diffuse scattering data for Fe and Co moments.¹⁰) Circles display the experimental magnetization data.¹³)

 \sim

- Fe: 2.2 $\mu_{
 m B}
 ightarrow \sim$ 3 $\mu_{
 m B}$
- Co: $1.8\mu_{\rm B}$ constant

Fig. 9. The total DOS's in bcc Fe-Co.

- Majority-spin band: fully filled?
- Charge neutrality

Fig. 10. The local DOS's and the atomic levels of Fe atom in bcc Fe-Co.

Fig. 11. The local DOS's and the atomic levels of Co atom in bcc Fe-Co.

Neighbor cobaltized Fe makes the Fe moment larger.

 \Rightarrow Large magnetization of NdFeB-magnet

Fig. 3. The total density of states for each spin in various V concentrations. The vertical line near E=0 is the Fermi level.

6 Free electron under the uniform magnetic field

(Classical picture) Eq. of motion: $m \frac{v^2}{r} = evB$

$$\omega_{\rm c} = \frac{v}{r} = \frac{eB}{m}$$
: cyclotron frequency

v, r : arbitrary

When B = 1 T, $\omega_c \approx 1.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ rad} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}, \quad \hbar \omega_c \approx 1.2 \text{ meV}$

6.1 Landau level

(Quantum mechaical treatment) Magnetic field: $\boldsymbol{B} = (0, 0, B) = \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{A}$ Vector potential: $\boldsymbol{A} = \left(-\frac{By}{2}, \frac{Bx}{2}, 0\right)$ Free electron system:

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(p_x - \frac{eBy}{2} \right)^2 + \left(p_y + \frac{eBx}{2} \right)^2 + p_z^2 \right]$$

Eigenenergy:

$$\epsilon_n(k_z) = \hbar\omega_c \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m}$$
$$n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \quad k_z = \frac{2\pi}{L_z} n_z \quad (n_z = \text{integer})$$

 $n : \mbox{Landau}$ level index

2-D free-electron system

Density of states (per unit area) : $D_2 = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}$

The degeneracy of Landau level (per unit area) is

$$N(B) = \hbar\omega_{\rm c} D_2 = \frac{eB}{h} = \frac{1}{2\pi l^2}$$

Cyclotron radius: $l = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{eB}}$ ~ the radius of wavefunction

- $\epsilon_{
 m F}$: Fermi energy in $B=0~~(\epsilon_{
 m F}\sim 1~{
 m eV})$
- n: Partially-occupied Landau level ($n \sim 10^3$ in B = 1T)

 $n\hbar\omega_{\rm c} < \epsilon_{\rm F} < (n+1)\hbar\omega_{\rm c}$

The increase of energy due to $B : E_2(B)$ $(E = E_0 + E_2(B))$

$$E_{2}(B) = \int_{n\hbar\omega_{c}}^{\epsilon_{F}} d\epsilon \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar\omega_{c} - \epsilon \right] D_{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[(n+1)\hbar\omega_{c} - \epsilon_{F} \right] \left[\epsilon_{F} - n\hbar\omega_{c} \right] D_{2}$$

Landau level :

$$\epsilon_n(B) = \hbar\omega_{\rm c}(B)\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

- 6.2 Landau levels in the k space
 - 2-D free-electron system

$$B = 0 \qquad \qquad B \neq 0$$

Density of states: $D_2 = \frac{L_x L_y m}{2\pi\hbar^2}$ The degeneracy of Landau level :

$$N(B) = \hbar\omega_{\rm c}D_2 = L_x L_y \frac{eB}{h}$$

Landau loop (tube)

6.3 Semiclassical description of the *n*-th Landau-level electron motion

< A wave packet of the *n*-th Landau state > k_n : the k-space center of the wave packet r_n : the real-space center of the wave packet

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}_n} = rac{1}{\hbar} rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{k}_n} rac{\hbar^2 \boldsymbol{k}_n^2}{2m} = rac{\hbar \boldsymbol{k}_n}{m}$$

$$\hbar \dot{\boldsymbol{k}}_n \equiv \hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{k}_n}{\mathrm{d}t} = -e\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}_n} \times \boldsymbol{B}$$
$$= -e\frac{\hbar \boldsymbol{k}_n}{m} \times \boldsymbol{B}$$
$$|\dot{\boldsymbol{k}}_n| = \frac{eB}{m}k_n = \omega_{\mathrm{c}}k_n$$

B : no work to the electron (W.P.)W.P. moves on the equienergy surface.

Energy:

$$\frac{\hbar^2 k_n^2}{2m} = \hbar\omega_{\rm c} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Area of the Landau loop:

$$A_n = \pi k_n^2 = \frac{2\pi eB}{\hbar} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{4\pi^2 B}{\phi_0} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad ; \quad \phi_0 = \frac{h}{e}$$

In real space,

$$\omega_{c}r_{n} = |\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{n}}| = \frac{\hbar k_{n}}{m}$$

$$r_{n} = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega_{c}}k_{n} = \frac{\phi_{0}}{2\pi B}k_{n}$$

$$S_{n} = \pi r_{n}^{2} = \pi \left(\frac{\hbar k_{n}}{\omega_{c}m}\right)^{2} = \frac{\phi_{0}^{2}}{4\pi^{2}B^{2}}A_{n}$$

$$= \frac{\phi_{0}}{B}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

7 Landau diamagnetic susceptibility (Landau 反磁性)

 $(T \sim 300$ K, $k_{\rm B}T \sim 30$ meV, $B \sim 10^{-2}$ T, $\hbar\omega_{\rm c} \sim 10^{-2}$ meV, $\epsilon_{\rm F} \sim 1$ eV, $n \sim 10^{5}$)

 $k_{\rm B}T \gg \hbar\omega_{\rm c}$

average over various Fermi energy : $n\hbar\omega_{\rm c} \leq \epsilon_{\rm F} \leq (n+1)\hbar\omega_{\rm c}$

$$\langle E_2(B) \rangle = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega_{\rm c}} \int_{n\hbar\omega_{\rm c}}^{(n+1)\hbar\omega_{\rm c}} \mathrm{d}\epsilon_{\rm F} E_2(B) = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{\rm B}^2 D(\epsilon_{\rm F})B^2$$

$$M(B) = -\frac{d\langle E_2(B) \rangle}{dB}$$

$$\chi_L = \frac{\mu_0 M(B)}{B}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{3} \mu_0 \mu_B^2 D(\epsilon_F)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \chi_P$$

$$M(B) = -\frac{d\langle E_2(B) \rangle}{dB}$$

$$(n+2)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n+1)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n+1)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n+1)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n-1)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n-2)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n-2)\hbar\omega_c$$

$$(n-2)\hbar\omega_c$$

 $\overline{\text{DOS}}(\epsilon) D_2$ Landau level

2-D free-electron system

 $\chi_{\rm L}$ Landau susceptibility $\chi_{\rm P}$ Pauli susceptibility 2D vs. 3D

- 8 de Haas van Alphen effect
- 2-D free-electron system
- n : Partially occupied Landau level

$$\begin{split} n\hbar\omega_{\rm c} &< \epsilon_{\rm F} < (n+1)\hbar\omega_{\rm c} \quad ; \quad \omega_{\rm c} = \frac{eB}{m} \\ n &< \frac{B_1}{B} < n+1 \quad ; \quad \frac{\epsilon_{\rm F}}{\hbar\omega_{\rm c}} = \frac{m\epsilon_{\rm F}}{\hbar eB} = \frac{B_1}{B}, \quad B_1 = \frac{m\epsilon_{\rm F}}{\hbar e} \\ \frac{n}{B_1} &< \frac{1}{B} < \frac{n+1}{B_1} \end{split}$$

The increase of energy due to B:

$$E_2(B) = \int_{n\hbar\omega_c}^{\epsilon_F} \mathrm{d}\epsilon \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar\omega_c - \epsilon \right] D_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[(n+1)\hbar\omega_c - \epsilon_F \right] \left[\epsilon_F - n\hbar\omega_c \right] D_2$$

Magnetization : $\left(\mu_{\rm B} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m}\right)$, $M_0 = \mu_{\rm B}\epsilon_{\rm F}D_2$

$$M(B) = -\frac{\partial E_2(B)}{\partial B} = -\mu_{\rm B} \left[(\epsilon_F - n\hbar\omega_{\rm c})(n+1) - ((n+1)\hbar\omega_{\rm c} - \epsilon_F)n \right] D_2$$
$$= -\mu_{\rm B}\epsilon_F D_2 B \left[\left(\frac{1}{B} - \frac{n}{B_1}\right)(n+1) - \left(\frac{n+1}{B_1} - \frac{1}{B}\right)n \right]$$

de Haas - van Alphen effect Magnetization oscillates as a function of B.

In the 2-D free-electron system,

 $M_0 = \mu_{\rm B} \epsilon_{\rm F} D_2$ $\hbar \omega_{\rm c} = \epsilon_{\rm F}$ when $B = B_1$ In the 3-dimensional system,

$$T\sim 1$$
 K, $k_{
m B}T\sim 10^{-1}$ meV, $B\sim 10$ T, $\hbar\omega_{
m c}\sim 10$ meV, $\epsilon_{
m F}\sim 1$ eV, $n\sim 100$

9 Quantum Hall effect (2D)

For the electric field $E_x \neq 0$ and $E_y = 0$, the center of the cyclotron motion moves in the *y* direction with the velocity $v_c = \frac{E_x}{B}$, which causes the Hall current

$$J_y = ev_c N_e = \frac{eN_e}{B} E_x ,$$

where $N_{\rm e}$ is the total electron number per unit area. Namely, the Hall conductivity is $\sigma_{yx} = \frac{eN_{\rm e}}{B}$

Thus, for the insulating state, the Hall conductivity is quantized:

$$\sigma_{yx} = \nu \frac{e^2}{h} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$$

 ν : TKNN number (1982), which is proved to be topological invariant.