



First step in first-principles calculation

- Precision Criterion for DFT simulation -

Hiroki Funashima(Department of Physics, Kobe University)
Masaaki Geshi(INSDD, Osaka University)

Translational Symmetry

Bloch State, Bloch function

1-electron Schrödinger Equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \right] \psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})$$

Born - von Karman condition

Periodicity of Potential

$$V(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n) = V(\vec{r})$$

$$\vec{t}_n = n_1 \vec{t}_1 + n_2 \vec{t}_2 + n_3 \vec{t}_3$$



effect?

eigenstate

1-electron Schrödinger Equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \right] \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r})$$

Hamiltonian Operator Eigenvalue Eigenfunction

$O(\epsilon | \vec{t}_n)$

$$O_{\xi} \psi(\vec{r}) \equiv \psi(\xi^{-1} \vec{r})$$

Born - von Karman condition

Periodicity of Potential

$$V(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n) = V(\vec{r})$$

$$\vec{t}_n = n_1 \vec{t}_1 + n_2 \vec{t}_2 + n_3 \vec{t}_3$$

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \right] \psi(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n) = E \psi(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n)$$

Hamiltonian Operator Eigenvalue Eigenfunction

same eigenvalue

$O(\epsilon | \vec{t}_n) (= \psi(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n))$ also is Eigenfunction whose eigenvalue is E

Bloch Theorem

phase factor

$$O_{(\epsilon | \vec{t}_n)} \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{t}_n} \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) \quad \text{Bloch condition}$$

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \underline{u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})} \quad \text{Bloch function}$$

periodic function $u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n) = u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$

Bloch state is characterized by \vec{k}

Key Point

Born-Karman Condition

$$\text{Periodic Potential}$$
$$V(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n) = V(\vec{r})$$

same periodicity

Wave function must be written as **Bloch Function**

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = \underbrace{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}}_{\text{plane wave}} \underbrace{u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})}_{\text{periodic function}}$$

periodic function

$$u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r} - \vec{t}_n) = u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$$

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = (\text{plane wave}) \times (\text{periodic function})$$

complex mathematical functional form...

summation of simple mathematical function

Bloch function and basis set

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$$


How do we represent the periodic function?

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \sum_n C_n \phi_n(\vec{r})$$

basis set

e.g., planewave basis set

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \sum_{\vec{G}} C_{\vec{G}} e^{i\vec{G}\cdot\vec{r}}$$



cut-off energy is defined as

$$E_{\text{cut-off}} = \frac{\hbar^2 G_{\text{max}}^2}{2m_e}$$

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \sum_n C_n \phi_n(\vec{r})$$

n

 basis set


In generally speaking, Mathematics requires an **infinite** number of bases!

$0 \leq n \leq \infty$ **Ideal**

$0 \leq n \leq n_{max}$ **realistic calculation**

Cutoff



genealogy of DFT calculation



Computational Experiment 1


To simplify, we try to visualize the plane wave expansion for Hydrogen Radial wave function. (In this case, angular part is neglected)

Representation for Hydrogen Radial Wave function as Summation of Plane wave Basis set




Reproducibility of Radial Wave function

Case: 3s orbital



↑
center of Atom

Case: 4d orbital




↑
center of Atom

Practice 1

relation between number of plane wave bases and reproducibility of radial wave function


(1) login to *cmd2*

```
ssh -Y stud??@cmd2.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp  
      your id
```




(2) launch App “rPlaneWaves”

```
~teac14/bin/rPlaneWaves &
```



(wait few second...)

Menu Window for rPlaneWaves



(3) Select Orbital


(4) Select num of
Plane wave basis set

(5) Select num of
Plane wave basis set

⋮

(X) quit application


Actually, wave function has angular part, we are required *much* number of bases...




Computational Experiment 2

k-dependency and cutoff Energy dependency for real material
in DFT calculation using FLAPW method(ABCAP code)

GaN



c-GaN(zincblende structure)



h-GaN(wurtzite structure)

Which is the most stable phase??

$$\Delta E_{\text{total}} = 1.73910^{-2} (eV) \quad (\text{calc. FLAPW; DFT-LDA})$$

Does your calculation results have enough precision to compare total energy?

In these calculation, we vary **2 parameters** to determine the precision of DFT Calculation Results,

- number of k-point mesh(grid)
- cutoff energy to expand wave function

We perform to the 2-type of calculation.

(1) check k-point mesh dependency(cutoff energy is static)


(2) check cutoff dependency(k-point mesh is static)

cutoff/kmesh	2	4	6	8	10	12
40	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished
44	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished
48	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A
52	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A
56	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished
60	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A
64	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A
68	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A
72	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A




Insulator(semiconductor) vs. Metal

Precision for total energy (k-point mesh dependency)

Si: semiconductor




Cu: Metal




Precision for total energy (cutoff energy)


Si: semiconductor




Cu: Metal





Si: semiconductor





Cu: Metal



Precision for magnetic moment (k-point mesh)





Precision for magnetic moment (cutoff energy)





small cell vs. large cell

Si (2atoms / unit cell)



SrTiO₃ (5atoms / unit cell)



translational lattice vector in real space

$$\vec{t}_n = n_1 \vec{t}_1 + n_2 \vec{t}_2 + n_3 \vec{t}_3$$

$\vec{t}_1, \vec{t}_2, \vec{t}_3$: primitive translational lattice vector

primitive reciprocal vector

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{g}_1 &= \frac{2\pi(\vec{t}_2 \times \vec{t}_3)}{\vec{t}_1(\vec{t}_2 \times \vec{t}_3)} \\ \vec{g}_2 &= \frac{2\pi(\vec{t}_3 \times \vec{t}_1)}{\vec{t}_2(\vec{t}_3 \times \vec{t}_1)} \\ \vec{g}_3 &= \frac{2\pi(\vec{t}_1 \times \vec{t}_2)}{\vec{t}_3(\vec{t}_1 \times \vec{t}_2)}\end{aligned}$$



reciprocal lattice

$$\vec{g}_l = l_1 \vec{g}_1 + l_2 \vec{g}_2 + l_3 \vec{g}_3$$

orthogonality

$$\vec{g}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = 2\pi\delta_{i,j}$$



$$e^{i\vec{g}_l \cdot \vec{t}_n} = e^{2\pi n i} = 1 \quad (n:\text{integer})$$



$$e^{-i(\vec{k} + \vec{g}_l) \cdot \vec{t}_n} = e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{t}_n}$$


(periodicity in k-space)

Practice 2

relation between number of plane wave bases and reproducibility of radial wave function


(1) login to *cmd2*

```
ssh -Y stud??@cmd2.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp  
your id
```




(2) launch App "PrecSimu"


```
~teac14/bin/PrecSimu &
```



(wait few second...)



(3) target material



(4:optional) check crystal structure


(5) select calculation type

(6) select fixed value


(1) check k-point mesh dependency(cutoff energy is static)

(2) check cutoff dependency(k-point mesh is static)

status for Si		2	4	6	8	10	12
cutoff\kmesh							
40	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished
44	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished
48	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A	N/A
52	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A	N/A
56	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished	finished
60	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A	N/A
64	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A	N/A
68	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A	N/A
72	finished	finished	N/A	finished	N/A	N/A	N/A



(7)select graph type



(7) plot graph
(if you don't have DFT calculation results,
you submit the job to calculate
electronic structure)